MINIORANDULA FOR THE ATTORNUT JENERAL

Inclosed you will find the following recent statements by John DacCone before Congressional Committees:

- 1. Senate Preparedness Subcommittee, Volume 1 -- see pages 44. 52. 57. 60-61. 70:
- 2. Senate Preparedness Subcommittee. Volume 2 -- see pages 31 through 102 in particular, especially page 100; also see pages 105 and 115-116;
- Mahon Appropriations Subcommittee hearings, Volume 1 -discussion of Cuba begins on page 139;
- 4. Mahon Appropriations Subcommittee hearings. Volume 2 -- see pages 241-242 and 250; and especially see pages 197-199 (does this indicate that the chart shown the Committee listed a different figure as the DCI's estimate()

On the pasts of reading these hearings, I prepared the enclosed two memoranda for this afternoon's meeting: (a) advice to witnesses in matters to avoid; and (b) possible areas of questioning that may cause difficulties or divergencies (LucCone has a different view on most of these).

LESSENCE OF CONCENTRATION

To the extent possible

- svoid discussing areas (policy, intelligence, multary matters, stc.) outside your particular area of responsibility.
- Avoid giving personal views at variance with governmental policy or agreed upon statements of fact.
- Avoid contradicting or even commenting on statements attributed to other Administration witnesses.
- Avoid speculating on what the Boviets, the Cubans, or the U.B. might do in the future; avoid predictions, especially those involving specific dates or numbers.
- 5. Avoid, in discussing evidence, the use of absolutes and unqualified assertions, using instead "to the best of our knowledge, there are at present. . .", "we have no reliable information to prove otherwise", "the only information which can be verified by reliable intelligence sources. . .", etc.

POSSIBLE CONCRECSIONAL RUBOTIONS

- 1. Spring 1961 -- The day of Figs. the prisoners, their menange and their future
- II. Fail 1962 -- Obtaining and reporting the facts
 - A. Intelligence Collection: Cap in timing of Hights over missile site areas: Though low-level Hights have been authorized for though ings.
 - 3. Intelligence Estimates and their Dissemination: Errors on number of troops? Dissemination of information restricted if it did not support government policy?
 - C. Testimony to Congress: Erroneous or intentionally misleading?

 Did Ball and W. Bundy have same information as others? Why did they not disclose CIA September 21 reports of missiles on island?
 - D. In General: How good was our intelligence effort? Why was Keating right before we were? Did not refugee sources prove to be reliable? Do we defend managing the news as weaponry?
- III. Winter 1963 -- Facts regarding the Soviet Military Presence
 - A. Certainty of no missiles or nuclear warheads remaining
 - 1. Could they be in caves? In woods? Elsewhere?
 - 2. Did we not estimate 48 were present and see only 42 leave?
 - 3. Repeated reports of missiles still there.
 - 4. Is aerial surveillance sufficient without low-level flights?
 Without on-site inspection?
 - 5. Was the inspection at sea which we accepted adequate?
 - If SAM's were put in to protect offensive weapons, why are SAM's still there.

3. Soviet troops

- How many are there now: How many were there in September an October: Why was the estimate so low: Is many's estimate any setter:
- 2. Why are they there? To protect Castro against internal or external attack? To restrain Castro from dangerous acts? To keep control over Castro and keep Chinese at all out? To aid in Latin subversion?
- 3. Fould withdrawal of Soviet troops in any way add to our langers (Guban recidessness, Suban operation of SALA's, Chinese, etc.)
- 4. Do we expect SAM's eventually to be turned over to Capans !
- 5. Have military personnel from other ploc nations replaced departing Soviets:
- 5. Is there a continuing outward movement of troops:

J. Stner Mensive capabilities

- Is a Coviet submarine pase in singular or inder construction in Dapa?
- Are the Mig-21's a threat? How far can then go with diffensive weapons?
- i. Is me aumoer of 700Gs being reduced."
- a. Is 'offensive and 'fefensive' a valid distinction is at valid joint can a jefensive force be so built up as to become offensive?

D. Juba is a base for subversion

- 1. Evidence of its use to subvert other Latin countries?
- 2. Is this activity on the increase?
- 3. Link to Venezuela? to British Guiana
- 4. What steps are we taking to halt this?
- 5. Is Castro gaining or losing stature in Latin America?

I. In general

- 1. Is Cuba, or the Soviet military presence on Cuba, a threat to the security of the U. S. or the hemisphere? Do we -- and should the Congress and this country -- view it with slarm, concern, suspicion, or confidence?
- 2. Is that threat increasing, decreasing or static in 1963?

IV. 1963 and hereafter: Questions of Policy

- A. The Soviet military presence-- is our objective to effect its removal, how quickly and by what means?
- 3. Is it more difficult to invade Cuba now than it was last October? July? April 1961?
- J. Is the Monroe Doctrine being violated?
- O. That steps are we taking to end Castro and Communism in Caba?
- 3. Vould we welcome a provocative act justifying an invasion?
- 7. Does everyone in the administration view the present struction in Jupa with equal plarm and suspicion -- and has this been due in the past?
- 3. Should quarantine be reimposed to force removal of moops?
- H. What do we do if SAM's fire on our planes?
- I. How to we justify flights under International Law?
- J. Have we promised not to invade Jupa '
- X. Do we look for Lastro to be brought fown by Internal or external means? Under what directmentances would we rely a repellion?